



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,655 10/19/2000		Gary E. Smith	GSMITH.002A	7733
20995	7590 06/06/200	2		
	ARTENS OLSON	EXAMINER		
620 NEWPOR SIXTEENTH	T CENTER DRIVE FLOOR	THISSELL,	THISSELL, JENNIFER I	
NEWPORT B	EACH, CA 92660		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
, t			Арр	ication No.	Applicant(s)			
			09/6	92,655	SMITH, GARY E.			
	Offic	Action Summary	Exar	miner	Art Unit			
				ifer I Thissell	3635			
Period f	The MAIL r Reply	.ING DATE of this commu	nication appears o	on the cover sheet	with the correspond nce ac	ldress		
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Faill - Any	HORTENED MAILING Densions of time n r SIX (6) MONTH e period for reply of period for reply ure to reply withi reply received b		NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply ly will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may he statutory minimum of t and will expire SIX (6) M he application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
3 (1) ⊠	Resnons	ive to communication(s)	filed on 10 March	2002				
2a)∏	•	• •						
3)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in	accordance with the pra				ie ments is		
· ·	tion of Clai				•			
4)⊠		<u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the	• •	_				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,7,16 and 17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8-15 and 18-31</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>1</u> tion Papers	<u>-31</u> are subject to restric	tion and/or electio	n requirement.				
	·	cation is objected to by t	ne Evaminer					
		g(s) filed on is/are		b) abjected to b	v the Evaminer			
10)				-	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)					disapproved by the Examin	ier.		
<i>,</i> —		ed, corrected drawings are r			,, France and			
12)	The oath or	r declaration is objected	to by the Examine	r.				
Priority	under 35 U	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowled	dgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a))] Some * c) ☐ None of:			• ,,,,,,,,			
	1. Cer	tified copies of the priorit	y documents have	been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* :		oies of the certified copies application from the Inter ached detailed Office acti	national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)		Stage		
				•	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application)		
		anslation of the foreign la		•		i application).		
		gment is made of a claim						
Attachmer —	` '							
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsper	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT			

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of the Restriction Requirement in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that applicant believes the independent claims are generic to Species A, B, and C. This is not found persuasive because applicant shows three patentably distinct figures in the drawings, as well as refers to these figures as "alternate embodiments" in the specification.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Applicant has elected Species A, and submits that claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-15, and 18-31 correspond to the elected species. The Examiner acknowledges that applicant has chosen Species A and agrees that claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-15, and 18-31 correspond to the elected species. Therefore, claims 3, 7, 16, and 17 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

Art Unit: 3635

enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claim states that the roof tile is placed in contact "only" with the support element, however, because of the nature of the invention, the roof tile would also be in contact with another roofing tile or even a fastener, not "only" the support element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9-11, 14, 15, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fifield ('940). Fifield teaches a support element 2 in between a roof tile 40 and a roofing surface, the support element is in the shape of a wedge, the support element is expanded polystyrene, the roofing surface is a roof deck with battens, the roof tile is lightweight concrete, the support element has a large surface area, and the first row of tiles are a distance above the second row.

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8-10, 12, 14, 15, 18-25, and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ilnyckyj ('888). Ilnyckyj teaches a wedge-shaped quadrilateral support element 60 made of polystyrene, there is a roofing deck 64 that inherently includes battens, the support elements are separate from the roof tiles 10, the support element has a large surface area, the support elements are arranged in rows, and the first row tile is a distance above the second tile.

Since applicant has not indicated in which order the method steps are performed in the claim, indicating a lack of criticality, it is the Examiner's position that Ilnyckyj teaches that the tiles are placed over the support elements, the support elements are placed on the roof, and the roof tile is secured to the roofing surface. The tile is in contact with the support element and the roofing surface.

Claims 1, 6, 8-10, 12-14, 20-23, and 26 are rejected, as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matorell (FR #2583091).

Matorell has a support element (Figure 4) that is placed on a roofing surface 6, and a roof tile (above the support element in Figure 4) is placed and secured over the support element, the roof tile does not contact the roof. The support element has at least one groove formed in the bottom surface, the roofing surface is a roof deck with battens, the support element supports a plurality of roof tiles, the roof tiles are arranged in rows, the first supported by support

Art Unit: 3635

elements such that the roof tiles of the first row are elevated above a second

Page 5

row of tiles (Figure 4).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer I Thissell whose telephone

number is (703) 306-5750. The examiner can normally be reached Monday

through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and

(703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose

telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

May 30, 2002

Carl D. Friedman

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600